Cr-rich Megacrysts of Clinopyroxene and Garnet from Lac de Gras Kimberlites, Slave Craton, Canada – Implications for the Origin of Clinopyroxene and Garnet in Cratonic Peridotites
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Introduction

In kimberlites, megacrysts are large crystals (> 1 cm) of garnet, clinopyroxene, ilmenite, olivine, phlogopite, orthopyroxene, or zircon (Nixon and Boyd 1973; Mitchell 1986). Megacrysts can be subdivided into a Cr-poor and a Cr-rich suite, but compositional thresholds between the two have varied in different studies (Moore and Belousova 2005; Kopylova et al. 2009). In contrast to the more common Cr-poor suite (Harte 1983), megacrysts of the Cr-rich suite are enriched in Cr and depleted in Fe and Ti and thus are chemically indistinguishable from their peridotite equivalents (Eggler et al. 1979). Traditionally, megacrysts are assumed to crystallize from a fractionating magma near the base of the lithosphere at 150-200 km depth (Harte 1983). In this model, the Cr-poor suite is thought to crystallize first at high melt/rock ratios, whereas Cr-rich megacrysts are the product of progressing chemical interaction with the surrounding peridotite at low melt/rock ratios. The exact nature of the proposed megacryst magma and its relationship to the host kimberlite is an ongoing matter of debate (Mitchell 1986; Bell and Moore 2004; Moore and Belousova 2005). A cognate relationship between megacrysts and kimberlites has been questioned by isotopic studies that show disequilibrium (e.g., Hops et al. 1992; Davies et al. 2001), although other studies find greater similarity (Nowell et al. 2004; Malarkey et al. 2010). More recently, the Cr-rich suite has been interpreted to originate from multi-stage metasomatic processes, based on studies on Cr-rich megacrysts from the Jericho kimberlite, northern Slave Craton (Kopylova et al. 2009), and from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (Pivin et al. 2009). Here, we report on Cr-rich megacrysts of clinopyroxene and garnet from the Diavik and Ekati diamond mines, Lac de Gras, central Slave Craton, Canada. The Cr-rich megacrysts are interpreted to have formed from precursor kimberlite melts that stalled in the mantle and were then sampled by later kimberlites.

Figure 1: Major and minor element (EPMA) compositions of LDG megacrysts of Cr-diopside clinopyroxene (A,B) Cr-pyrope garnet (C,D) compared to reference data from megacrysts worldwide and phases from peridotites from Lac de Gras (LDG).
Results
No significant compositional differences between megacryst samples from the Diavik and Ekati occurrences were found in major and trace elements, and Sr isotopes (Figs. 1, 2). The samples are thus collectively referred to as Lac de Gras (LDG) samples. They plot at the Cr-rich and high-Mg# end of the global megacryst trend (Fig. 1). There is consistent overlap with LDG lherzolitic phases (Aulbach et al. 2007), but also with Cr-rich megacrysts from other locations, e.g., the Jericho kimberlite (Kopylova et al. 2009) or kimberlites in the DRC (Pivin et al. 2009). In terms of trace element signatures, there is no distinction between the LDG samples, the worldwide Cr-rich megacryst suite, and clinopyroxene and garnet from LDG lherzolites (Figs. 2a, b). Their Sr isotope signatures overlap with those of typical mantle phases and are less radiogenic than the host kimberlite, but also overlap with Sr isotope data of primitive groundmass perovskite in LDG kimberlites (Figure 2c) (Sarkar et al. 2015). Thus, we suggest that the LDG samples described here are associated with the Cr-rich megacryst suite, and may have grown from sources that are isotopically similar to LDG kimberlites (Tappe et al. 2013).

Discussion
A plausible model combining the crystallization of the Cr-rich megacryst suite and the localized introduction of lherzolitic clinopyroxene and garnet into the surrounding mantle could involve the process of percolative fractional crystallization (Harte et al. 1993). Reaction between kimberlite-like melts, associated with a failed kimberlite, and a lithospheric mantle column is illustrated schematically in Fig. 3. This failed kimberlite may be responsible for the formation of polymict mantle breccias (Giuliani et al. 2013), possibly accompanied by crystallization of Cr-poor megacrysts (e.g., ilmenite, garnet, olivine). The crystallization of Cr-rich megacrysts (predominantly Cr-diopside and Cr-pyrope) could occur along channel walls, where they could grow to large sizes. Further away from the channel, where the flow is more percolative, diopsides (and pyropes) distributed throughout cratonic lherzolites may form (e.g., Simon et al. 2003). The megacrysts may be re-sampled by later kimberlites that successfully ascend to the surface. Polyminalic inclusions commonly observed in the LDG megacryst samples (Bussweiler et al. 2016) are formed during this later entrainment.
**Figure 3:** Schematic cartoon showing the formation of Cr-rich megacrysts from a failed precursor kimberlite melt that percolates into and crystallizes in the surrounding lithospheric mantle.
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